

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/692,703 10/24/2003 Joseph M. Koenig JR. TRI4546P0161US 9791 **EXAMINER** 32116 7590 07/20/2004 WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER RACHUBA, MAURINA T 500 W. MADISON STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 3800** CHICAGO, IL 60661 3723

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/692		KOENIG, JOSEPH M.		$C_{\mathcal{C}}$
		Exami	ner	Art Unit		
		M Rac	huba	3723		
	The MAILING DATE of this commun				iress	
Period for	or Reply		,			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum store to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) d d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro application to become ABANDOI	timely filed  ays will be considered timely on the mailing date of this connection (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.	
Status						
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 29 April 2004	1			
2a)∏	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)						
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
·	· 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.					
لکا(∓	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
• —	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	_					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or electio	n requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
۵۱□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
يح (٥٠	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
/	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority			ation No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies				Stage	
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT l	Rule 17.2(a)).			
* (	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the c	ertified copies not recei	ved.		
Attachmer			□ <del>.</del>	(DTO 110)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948\	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail			
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pr No(s)/Mail Date 10/24/03.			I Patent Application (PTO	<b>-152</b> )	

Application/Control Number: 10/692,703

Art Unit: 3723

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of species 1 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The examiner agrees that all pending claims read on the elected species, and have been examined on their merits. Any new claims must also read on the elected species.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-12 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of copending Application No. 10/158608. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a block (claim 1, '703) is a parallelepiped (claim 1, '608).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,703 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kingman 2,386,900 in view of Hays 2,553,254. '900 discloses everything claimed, including a curved edge of a radius not less than about 1/8 inch, but does not disclose that two opposing edges are curved, and two opposing edges are sharp. '254, figure 1, in a sanding block teaches a form of a parallelepiped having two expansive and two adjacent sides, with two opposing edges being curved, and two opposing edges being sharp. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided '900 with the curved and sharp edges of '254, to allow the sanding sponge to be used to sand both acute and obtuse angled surfaces. Further, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the sharp edges of any angle desired, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other similar sanding tools are cited of interest.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/692,703

Art Unit: 3723

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Rachuba whose telephone number is 703-308-1361. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Rachuba Primary Patent Examiner 19-Jul-04